

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/070,623	ARBUCKLE ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Richard D. Lovering	1712	

All Participants:
Status of Application: AMENDED

 (1) Richard D. Lovering.

(3) _____.

 (2) MICHAEL M. GEOFFREY

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: SEPT. 23, 2003
Time: ____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.
Rejection(s) discussed:
Claims discussed: 3-7; AND 13, 20, 25, 33 AND 41.

Prior art documents discussed: NONE
Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: EXR WAS AUTHORIZED TO MAKE FOLLOWING CHANGES BY EXAMINER'S AMENDMENT: (1) IN LINE 1 OF ALL OF CLAIMS 3-7, CANCEL "SOLUTION" AND INSERT -- BISPHENOLIC COMPOSITION -- IN LIEU THEREOF; AND (2) IN LINE 2 OF ALL OF CLAIMS 5, 20, 25, 33 AND 41, CANCEL "AND" AND INSERT -- -- IN LIEU THEREOF, AND AFTER "TOLUENE", INSERT -- AND MIXTURES THEREOF --.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Richard D. Lovering
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature - if appropriate)